

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,843		10/12/2001	Stephen H. Friend	9301-161 1315		
20583	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DAY 222 EAST 41ST ST				MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
NEW YORK		0017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/975,843	FRIEND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM! - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than this of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuter Failure to reply within the set or extended period for the set of	sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a learnunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON reply will, by statute, cause the application to become At this after the mailing date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	•
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 25 February 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.	
<u>'=</u>	ion for allowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
• •	actice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>53-59,67,68,71,72 and</u>	79-81 is/are pending in the application.	
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>53,55,56,67,71,& 79-81</u>	_ is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>54,57-59,68 and 72</u> is/a	re objected to.	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	one of the second of the seco	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ding the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received in A	pplication No
Copies of the certified copi	es of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Interna	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office ad	ction for a list of the certified copies not	received.

Attachment(s)

٦)	ш	Notice of	References	Cited	(PTO-892)	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____

Application/Control Number: 09/975,843

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 2/25/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 53, 55, 56, 67, 71, and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tice et al. (P/N 6,024,983).

This rejection is reiterated and maintained from the previous office action, mailed 8/25/03. Applicants argue that the biological state of a cell is determined as a manifestation via the state of a collection of cellular constituents and that the instant invention determines the effects of perturbations via response profiles via

Art Unit: 1631

the activity of cellular constituents. Thus the state of a cell is determined via such biological response profiles of cellular constituents. It is noted that there is no instant limitation that limits these profiles to "different" cellular constituents. Thus the assaying of a cellular constituent as pointed to previously in the office action, mailed 8/25/03, reasonably is included as a plurality of constituents which are assayed in the reference. That is, the reference does not assay single constituent molecules but a plurality as performed in such standard assays as cited in column 13 of Tice et al. Applicants have not pointed to any instant claim limitation(s) which distinguish the instant claims regarding a simple response profile of TNP profiling with calibration and interpolation practice which are therein utilized for assaying cellular state changes due to perturbations determined by such TNP levels.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 54, 57-59, 68, and 72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Application/Control Number: 09/975,843

Art Unit: 1631

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 21, 2004

1 Marsh 8/21/04 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXEMINER